

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 07/08/2003		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,677			Bradley J. Anderson	200300676-1	9829
22879	7590 02/23/2006			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT :	PACKAI	RD COMPANY	BUI, LUAN KIM		
P O BOX 272	400 3404	E. HARMONY RO	DAD		
		PERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				3728	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
065' 4 -4' 0	10/615,677	ANDERSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luan K. Bui	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MO	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tte, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	January 2006.		
·_ · 	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8,12 and 32-35</u> is/are pending in t	he application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8,12 and 33-35</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5.32</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bures	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	and the second	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/615,677

Art Unit: 3728

5

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 1/30/2006 under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/615,677 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 5 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Nakamura (6,546,210) in view of Honma et al. (5,373,718; hereinafter Honma'718) and
 Guckenberger (5,630,308). Nakamura discloses a ream of print medium in a media wrapper (50)
 comprising a quantity of print medium such as paper (1) and a wrapper/wrapping means
 containing the quantity of print medium and the wrapper having a first end (51), a second end
 (52) and a perforation/separating means (53) disposed between the first and second ends and
 configured to split the wrapper to separate the first and second ends such that the first end is
 removed to expose the quantity of print medium. Nakamura further discloses a placement
 indicator (59) comprises a label and the wrapper (50) is made of vinyl sheet or hard resin
 (column 12, lines 22-24). Nakamura also discloses the other limitations of the claims except for
 a pull-tab being configured to split the perforation and the pull-tab comprises an extruded portion
 of the wrapper.

1

Honma'718 shows a package (10) containing an article (12) comprising a wrapper (11) having a severing tape (13) configured to split the wrapper and the severing tape including a pull-tab (16, 16a) (Figures 3-4). Guckenberger teaches a package (600') comprising a wrapper (600) having a separating means such as perforations (125, 125') configured to split the wrapper and the separating means including a pull-tab (626') comprises an extruded portion of the wrapper (column 6, lines 65-67 and Figures 6-7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Honma'718 and Guckenberger to modify the wrapper of Nakamura so the perforation includes a pull-tab as taught by Honma'718 to facilitate removing the wrapper and the pull-tab comprises an extruded portion of the wrapper as taught by Guckenberger so the wrapper and the pull-tab are formed during manufacture the wrapper to reduce the cost.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6-8, 12 and 33-35 are allowed since the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the nap of the print media refers to differing surface textures of the print media as indicates by paragraph 0020 of the specification of the instant patent application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to 1/30/2006 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,677

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lkb February 17, 2006 Luan K. Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

Page 4